

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/996,120	11/28/2001	Kwong-Yu Chan	609920600024	1508		
24325 7	05/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
STEPHEN D. SCANLON			WONG,	WONG, EDNA		
JONES DAY 901 LAKESID	E AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			1753			
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					L .	
		Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		C	09/996,120	CHAN ET AL.		
		E	xaminer	Art Unit		
			dna Wong	1753		
T Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commu eply	nication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M s of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com od for reply is specified above, the maximum s reply within the set or extended period for repl received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUN). In no event, however, may a pply and will expire SIX (6) MO use the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)□ Re	sponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .				
· <u> </u>		. ——	tion is non-final.			
3) <u> </u>						
clo	sed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex p</i>	parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	of Claims					
- 4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the	annlication		•		
	Of the above claim(s) is/a	• •	from consideration.			
	aim(s) is/are allowed.					
	nim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	nim(s) is/are objected to.					
	nim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or elec	ction requirement.			
Application	Papers					
	·	a Eveminer				
	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are		ad ar h\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	s by the Everniner		
	olicant may not request that any obje	•	, ,	<u>-</u>		
	placement drawing sheet(s) including				PED 1 121(d)	
	oath or declaration is objected t				• •	
	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
	nowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	rior lorcigii pii	only under 55 0.5.6.	3 113(a)-(d) or (1).		
•	☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents h	ave been received.			
_	Certified copies of the priority			Application No		
3.[_				al Stage	
-	application from the Internation				1-3-	
* See	the attached detailed Office action	•	` ''	ot received.		
Attachma=4/=\						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗍 Intention	Summary (PTO-413)		
	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Informatio	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (s)/Mail Date	•	5) Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application (PT	'O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/996,120

Art Unit: 1753

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5 and 29-31, drawn to a method for catalytically oxidizing organic molecules, classified in class 205, subclass 421.
- II. Claims 6-22 and 32-48, drawn to an apparatus for catalyzing the oxidation of organic molecules and an apparatus for catalyzing the oxidation of glucose molecules, classified in class 204, subclass 194.
- III. Claims 23-25, drawn to an electrode structure, classified in class 204, subclass 291.
- IV. Claims 26-28, drawn to an electrode structure, classified in class 204, subclass 291.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as a non-electrode apparatus.

Inventions III, IV and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as electrodes that are not platinum wires or nickel current collectors.

Inventions II and III, IV are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the platinum wire of Group III or the nickel current collector of Group IV. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used in photocatalytic reactions.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects.

Group III is directed to an electrode comprising a platinum wire having a coating,

said coating comprising a discrete mixture of platinum particles and cobalt particles; and an electrode comprising a platinum wire having a coating, said coating comprising cobalt particles.

Group IV is directed to an electrode comprising a nickel current collector having a coating, said coating comprising a mixture of activated carbon, acetylene black, PTFE and a catalyst, said catalyst comprising a discrete mixture of platinum particles and cobalt particles.

The electrodes have different compositions.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edna Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 09/996,120

Art Unit: 1753

Page 6

1349. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edna Wong
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1753

EW May 8, 2006